

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				•
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,678	11/29/2001	Joseph G. Farmerie	10807.0098.NPUS00	4577
7:	590 02/02/2004		EXAM	INER
Thomas V. Miller			ROSENBAUM, MARK	
Howrey Simon	Arnold & White, LLP			
PO Box 4433			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77210-4433			3725	(p
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004	4 Y

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

А		(Section 2010)	\			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/997,678	FARMERIE, JOSEPH G.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Rosenbaum	3725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provision of the	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tineply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	December 2003.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-15,17-20 and 22-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>8,16,21</u> is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
a)[* S 13)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a licknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). st of the certified copies not receive stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application)			
3' a; 14)∐ A	nce a specific reference was included in the factor of the factor of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of	provisional application has been rec stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/997,678

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8,16,21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 22-31 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim Objections

Claims 7,15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Note the nozzle has already been claimed in the independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 7,15,22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. How do claims 7 and 15 further limit the claims they depend upon? In claims 22-31, applicant refers to a secondary baffle before a first baffle is mentioned; clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/997,678

Art Unit: 3725

Claims 1-7,9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Stiggins et al or Gordon. See paper number 4 for this rejection. Furthermore, coupling 58 acts as a nozzle in Stiggins et al and nipple 49 acts as a nozzle in Gordon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bebinger. See paper number 4 for this rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/8/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The term nozzle is very broad and can read on any number of apparatus elements.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,678

Art Unit: 3725

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 703-308-1788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Ostrager can be reached on 703-308-3136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR